

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

FERMILAB-Conf-97/123

Beam-Beam Simulations with Crossing Angle in TEV33

V.D. Shiltsev

*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510*

May 1997

Presented at the *Particle Accelerator Conference PAC 97*,
Vancouver, Canada, May 12-16, 1997

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Distribution

Approved for public release; further dissemination unlimited.

Beam-Beam Simulations with Crossing Angle in TEV33

V. D. Shiltsev, FNAL * M.S.345, P.O. Box 500, Batavia, IL 60510, USA

Abstract

We consider effects of finite crossing angle at collision point on beam dynamics in the Tevatron collider upgrade (TEV33). Impact of the beam-beam interaction on beam sizes, particles diffusion and luminosity is studied with use of computer simulations. Parameter space for better collider performance is proposed.

1 INTRODUCTION

The Tevatron collider upgrade (TEV33) [1] intends to operate with some hundred bunches in each beam. Large number of bunches N_b results in small bunch spacing of 132 ns and, therefore, collisions occur more frequently. The colliding beams share the same vacuum chamber that leads to $2(N_b - 1)$ parasitic collisions besides specially designed interaction points (IPs). Detrimental effects of the parasitics collisions of high current beams can be reduced by separation of the orbits of p and \bar{p} beams everywhere except the IPs. However, due to limited space available and limited strength of electrostatic separators several crossing points around the IPs can not be effectively treated in such a way. Collision with half-crossing angle of $\phi = 0.2$ mrad will allow to increase the separation up to a safe value of 3 rms beam size at the first parasitic crossing [2].




Figure 1: Contour plot of the geometrical luminosity reduction factor R due to tilt effect vs. bunch length σ_z and crossing half-angle ϕ , $\beta^* = 25$ cm.

Collisions with a crossing angle result in geometrical luminosity reduction. Then, in the case of strong electromagnetic interaction between beams, characterized by the

beam-beam parameter $\xi = \frac{r_p N_p}{4\pi \epsilon_n} N_{IP}$, (here $r_p = 1.53 \cdot 10^{-18}$ m is the classical proton radius, N_p is the number of particles in opposing bunch, ϵ_n is the transverse normalized emittance of round beam, and N_{IP} is the number of IPs), the harmful impact of non-linear force due to the opposing beam tends to enhance for particles off the bunch center (at the tail and head). Finally, there is a coupling between longitudinal and transverse degrees of particle motion that causes synchrotron (SB) resonances at frequencies of $n\nu_x + m\nu_y + l\nu_z = \text{integer}$, where ν_x , ν_y , ν_z are horizontal, vertical and synchrotron tunes, respectively.

2 SIMULATIONS WITH CROSSING ANGLE

BBC code and beam parameters We employ the BBC code Ver. 3.3 developed by K.Hirata [3] for beam-beam simulations in “weak-strong regime” which is close to the TEV33 conditions where proton bunch population is about 6 times the antiproton one. The “weak” (antiproton) bunch is presented by number of test particles, while the “strong” (proton) bunch appeared as an external force of Gaussian bunch. Typically we tracked 100 (maximum 300) test particles through five slices of strong bunch for $(10-50) \cdot 10^3$ turns. Typical number of 30,000 turns corresponds to about 0.6 s in TeV33, it is some 100 synchrotron oscillation periods. No damping due to radiation or cooling is assumed to play role in the beam dynamics. Further increase of the number of particles as well as number of slices gave almost identical results. The code assumes one-plane crossing angle (e.g. horizontal) and one IP.

The code outputs of greatest practical utility are luminosity, rms beam sizes and maximum betatron amplitudes which any of the test particles attained during tracking. These outputs are given with respect to unperturbed values, e.g. sizes and amplitudes are divided by their design rms values $\sigma_{x,y}/\sigma_{x,y}^0$ and $A_{x,y}^{max}/\sigma_{x,y}^0$, the luminosity is presented by the reduction factor of $R = L/L_0$ where the bare design luminosity $L_0 = f_0 N_p N_{\bar{p}} / (4\pi \sigma_x^0 \sigma_y^0)$ and f_0 is the rate of collisions.

The relevant parameters of the simulations were chosen close to the TeV33 design ones, [1], namely: energy $E=1000$ GeV; $p, \bar{p}/\text{bunch}$ $(N_p, N_{\bar{p}})=(30, 6) \cdot 10^{10}$; the rms energy spread of $\sigma_E = \Delta E/E=2.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ $\sigma_z=15$ cm rms bunch length; synchrotron tune $\nu_z=0.0045$; rms transverse emittance $\epsilon_{x,y}=3 \cdot 10^{-9}$ m-rad; beta-function at IP $\beta_{x,y}^*=25$ cm; nominal \bar{p} beam-beam parameter $\xi=0.025$ (in TEV33 this tune shift is accumulated over two IPs). These parameters correspond to beginning of the collision store.

* Operated by Universities Research Association, Inc., contract with the US Department of Energy

Results Luminosity reduction due to geometrical “hour-glass” and beam tilt effects depends on two parameters: the bunch length to beta function ratio $S = \sigma_z/\beta^*$ and normalized angle $\Phi = \phi\sigma_z/\sigma^*$ (also known as Piwinski angle). For TeV’33 $S = 0.6$ at the injection and about 1.0 after 12 hours of beam life time; the normalized angle of $\Phi = 1.0$ corresponds to $\phi = 0.183$ mrad. The simulations of the geometrical luminosity reduction shows the R goes down with either decrease of S or increase of Φ as shown in Fig.1. The approximate formulae $R \approx 0.96/\sqrt{1 + \Phi^2}$ can be used for the parameter of $S = 0.6$. At some particular tunes and the total luminosity degradation is about the pure geometrical one as in Fig.1, e.g. no signs of the degradation due to SBRs are seen after 30,000 turns with “good” tunes of $\nu_x = 0.57$, $\nu_y = 0.58$, $\xi = 0.025$ [4].




Figure 2: Maximum horizontal (A_x/σ_x^0 - solid) and vertical (A_y/σ_y^0 - dashed) amplitudes vs. ν_y . $\nu_x = 0.57$, $\xi = 0.025$, $S = 0.6$, crossing angle $\phi = 0$ mrad (top), 0.2 mrad (center, $\Phi \simeq 1$), and 0.4 mrad (bottom).

Our simulations show that the resonances due to SB coupling distinctly manifest itself in the growth of the maximum betatron amplitude. The later is an indicator of transverse particle diffusion which forms a halo and concludes in particles losses. Performing scan over the vertical tune $\nu_y = 0.5 \dots 1.0$ with $\nu_x = 0.57$, we have found the resonance picture qualitatively changes with increase of the half-angle ϕ . Fig.2 presents the values of A_x/σ_x^0 (solid curves) and A_y/σ_y^0 (dashed curves) after 10,000 turns vs.




Figure 3: Maximum horizontal (A_x - solid) and vertical (A_y - dashed) amplitudes vs ϕ ($\nu_x = 0.58$, $\nu_y = 0.71$, $\xi = 0.025$, $\Phi = 0 \dots 5$, $S = 1$).

ν_y without the crossing angle $\phi = 0$ (upper plot), and with crossing angle of $\phi = 0.2$ mrad (center plot) and $\phi = 0.4$ mrad (bottom plot). First of all, the number resonances grows with ϕ : five of them are seen without the angle, while about ten and twenty at $\phi=0.2$ mrad and 0.4 mrad, respectively, leaving not too much tune space for the collider operation. The synchrotron tune is comparatively small - at the particular case presented in Fig.2 $\nu_z = 0.0046$, therefore, the SBRs - at the tunes $\nu_{x,y,z}$ of $n\nu_x + m\nu_y + l\nu_z = q$, where (n, m, l) and q are integer - look like closely spaced sidebands of (n, m) resonances (line “splitting”).

The major resonances at $\phi = 0$ are $(4,-2)$; $(2,4)$; $(0,4)$; $(0,6)$; $(2,2)$; while a number of new SBRs appears at $\phi = 0.4$ mrad - split line of $(1,-1,\pm 1)$ at $\nu_y = 0.57$, $(4,-2,0)$ and $(4,-2,-2)$ at $\nu_y=0.64$, $(4,4,0)$ at 0.68 , the line of $(2,4)$ resonance becomes wider and larger; the $(0,4,-2)$ sideband of the $3/4$ resonance appears at 0.74 ; then one can see $(2,1,0)$ at 0.86 and $(2,1,2)$ at 0.87 ; split $(2,2)$ lines at 0.93 and $(1,-2,\pm 1)$ at 0.97 ; higher order resonances are seen at $\nu_x = 0.89$ and 0.98 . The degradation is also seen in the rms beam sizes but not as drastic as for the maximum amplitudes in Fig.2.

Finally, from Fig.2 one can conclude that at $\xi = 0.025$, $\nu_x = 0.57$, there are “windows” in ν_y without SB resonances at $0.51-0.56$, $0.58-0.62$ and $0.77-0.83$. The first two are preferable from the point of larger luminosity [4]. This “off-resonant” case shows no meaningful changes in particles diffusion rates with increase of the angle, while at the “bad” operation point - see maximum amplitudes vs. the crossing angle with close to resonance tunes $\nu_x = 0.58$, $\nu_y = 0.71$ in Fig.3 - the amplitude does not grow until normalized angle of $\Phi \simeq 1$ ($\phi \simeq 0.2$ mrad), then rapidly increases and slowly decreases after $\Phi \geq 3$. That weakening of the SBR at $\Phi \sim 3 - 5$ is probably due to the fact that the effective beam-beam interaction becomes smaller due to the tilt effect.

In order to determine the tune space for better performance we made a 2-D scan over the tune space of ν_x, ν_y ($0.55 \dots 0.65, 0.55 \dots 0.65$). Without the crossing angle, betatron amplitude has no peculiarities. The resonance “hills” are clearly seen in the plots of maximum amplitudes of horizontal and vertical betatron oscillations - see top and bottom plots in Fig.4, respectively - if the crossing angle is

equal to 0.2 mrad. After only 10,000 turns the ratio of $A_{x,y}/\sigma_{x,y}^0$ could reach values of the order of 5 at some resonance lines, while without the angle they do not exceed 2.7. One can recognize the most valuable resonances in the tune space – they are at $\nu_x \approx 0.59$ and $2\nu_x + \nu_y$ in horizontal dynamics, and at $\nu_x + 3\nu_y$ and $\nu_x + \nu_y$ in the vertical one. Note, that if both tunes are in the area of 0.55–0.59 then both amplitudes are small.

